

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
UCC Cost Analysis
County Detail - FY25 Costs (Charges x RCC)



Rank	Cnty Code	County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated UCC Costs ⁽²⁾ (Charges x RCC)	% of Total	Total UCC Payments ⁽⁴⁾	Unreimbursed Costs (Est Cost - Payments)	% to Total Unreimb Cost "Texas"
1	101	HARRIS	\$ 579,218,220	26.24%	\$ 410,426,096	\$ 168,792,124	32.6%
2	79	FORT BEND	\$ 135,288,565	6.13%	\$ 95,209,517	\$ 40,079,048	7.8%
3	170	MONTGOMERY	\$ 125,437,928	5.68%	\$ 86,674,164	\$ 38,763,764	7.5%
4	84	GALVESTON	\$ 84,148,567	3.81%	\$ 57,368,605	\$ 26,779,962	5.2%
5	20	BRAZORIA	\$ 72,683,670	3.29%	\$ 51,456,592	\$ 21,227,078	4.1%
6	123	JEFFERSON	\$ 43,242,574	1.96%	\$ 29,845,496	\$ 13,397,078	2.6%
8	227	TRAVIS	\$ 33,863,402	1.53%	\$ 21,965,127	\$ 11,898,275	2.3%
7	108	HIDALGO	\$ 30,028,165	1.36%	\$ 20,899,353	\$ 9,128,812	1.8%
9	15	BEXAR	\$ 23,564,942	1.07%	\$ 14,947,289	\$ 8,617,653	1.7%
10	178	NUECES	\$ 23,110,191	1.05%	\$ 15,639,855	\$ 7,470,335	1.4%
11	220	TARRANT	\$ 16,584,611	0.75%	\$ 9,976,144	\$ 6,608,467	1.3%
12	31	CAMERON	\$ 18,201,396	0.82%	\$ 12,465,244	\$ 5,736,152	1.1%
13	181	ORANGE	\$ 16,496,176	0.75%	\$ 10,789,950	\$ 5,706,226	1.1%
14	21	BRAZOS	\$ 14,452,176	0.65%	\$ 9,465,324	\$ 4,986,852	1.0%
15	246	WILLIAMSON	\$ 15,338,649	0.69%	\$ 10,562,064	\$ 4,776,585	0.9%
16	57	DALLAS	\$ 17,926,170	0.81%	\$ 13,238,411	\$ 4,687,759	0.9%
17	3	ANGELINA	\$ 13,752,288	0.62%	\$ 9,262,699	\$ 4,489,589	0.9%
18	71	EL PASO	\$ 13,246,089	0.60%	\$ 8,814,255	\$ 4,431,834	0.9%
19	146	LIBERTY	\$ 14,152,499	0.64%	\$ 9,962,163	\$ 4,190,336	0.8%
20	100	HARDIN	\$ 13,271,799	0.60%	\$ 9,121,585	\$ 4,150,214	0.8%
21	235	VICTORIA	\$ 12,883,113	0.58%	\$ 8,849,191	\$ 4,033,922	0.8%
22	46	COMAL	\$ 11,534,488	0.52%	\$ 7,512,549	\$ 4,021,939	0.8%
23	187	POLK	\$ 13,242,273	0.60%	\$ 9,313,850	\$ 3,928,423	0.8%
24	236	WALKER	\$ 12,283,440	0.56%	\$ 8,375,636	\$ 3,907,804	0.8%
25	43	COLLIN	\$ 8,495,870	0.38%	\$ 5,197,931	\$ 3,297,939	0.6%
26	165	MIDLAND	\$ 7,291,212	0.33%	\$ 4,018,026	\$ 3,273,186	0.6%
27	161	MCLENNAN	\$ 7,904,250	0.36%	\$ 4,870,239	\$ 3,034,011	0.6%
28	241	WHARTON	\$ 9,253,655	0.42%	\$ 6,522,319	\$ 2,731,336	0.5%
29	239	WASHINGTON	\$ 8,851,025	0.40%	\$ 6,134,139	\$ 2,716,886	0.5%
30	105	HAYS	\$ 8,826,111	0.40%	\$ 6,115,137	\$ 2,710,974	0.5%
31	4	ARANSAS	\$ 7,060,661	0.32%	\$ 4,369,666	\$ 2,690,994	0.5%
32	14	BELL	\$ 7,141,117	0.32%	\$ 4,646,962	\$ 2,494,155	0.5%
33	174	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 7,022,393	0.32%	\$ 4,619,091	\$ 2,403,302	0.5%
34	121	JASPER	\$ 6,463,784	0.29%	\$ 4,182,492	\$ 2,281,292	0.4%
35	61	DENTON	\$ 6,970,169	0.32%	\$ 4,691,810	\$ 2,278,359	0.4%
36	8	AUSTIN	\$ 6,867,187	0.31%	\$ 4,638,395	\$ 2,228,792	0.4%
37	143	LAVACA	\$ 7,143,499	0.32%	\$ 4,915,909	\$ 2,227,590	0.4%
38	36	CHAMBERS	\$ 7,573,779	0.34%	\$ 5,751,402	\$ 1,822,377	0.4%
39	158	MATAGORDA	\$ 5,540,605	0.25%	\$ 3,765,405	\$ 1,775,200	0.3%
40	229	TYLER	\$ 5,473,478	0.25%	\$ 3,845,345	\$ 1,628,132	0.3%
41	75	FAYETTE	\$ 7,416,874	0.34%	\$ 5,822,206	\$ 1,594,668	0.3%
42	212	SMITH	\$ 7,244,537	0.33%	\$ 5,700,595	\$ 1,543,942	0.3%
43	152	LUBBOCK	\$ 4,076,453	0.18%	\$ 2,552,513	\$ 1,523,940	0.3%
44	205	SAN PATRICIO	\$ 5,189,753	0.24%	\$ 3,676,680	\$ 1,513,074	0.3%
45	45	COLORADO	\$ 5,526,886	0.25%	\$ 4,099,937	\$ 1,426,950	0.3%
46	29	CALHOUN	\$ 4,682,580	0.21%	\$ 3,261,579	\$ 1,421,001	0.3%
47	11	BASTROP	\$ 3,291,969	0.15%	\$ 1,895,107	\$ 1,396,862	0.3%
48	133	KERR	\$ 3,848,223	0.17%	\$ 2,537,791	\$ 1,310,432	0.3%
49	202	SABINE	\$ 3,713,415	0.17%	\$ 2,455,880	\$ 1,257,535	0.2%
50	92	GREGG	\$ 3,962,886	0.18%	\$ 2,781,714	\$ 1,181,173	0.2%
51	237	WALLER	\$ 6,404,223	0.29%	\$ 5,223,167	\$ 1,181,056	0.2%
52	93	GRIMES	\$ 4,501,214	0.20%	\$ 3,334,984	\$ 1,166,230	0.2%
53	113	HOUSTON	\$ 4,264,546	0.19%	\$ 3,105,053	\$ 1,159,493	0.2%

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
UCC Cost Analysis
County Detail - FY25 Costs (Charges x RCC)



Rank	Cnty Code	County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated UCC Costs ⁽²⁾ (Charges x RCC)	% of Total	Total UCC Payments ⁽⁴⁾	Unreimbursed Costs (Est Cost - Payments)	% to Total Unreimb Cost "Texas"
54	1	ANDERSON	\$ 3,164,129	0.14%	\$ 2,023,655	\$ 1,140,473	0.2%
55	226	TOM GREEN	\$ 4,718,313	0.21%	\$ 3,595,630	\$ 1,122,683	0.2%
56	145	LEON	\$ 3,187,835	0.14%	\$ 2,082,910	\$ 1,104,924	0.2%
57	68	ECTOR	\$ 3,316,303	0.15%	\$ 2,231,634	\$ 1,084,669	0.2%
58	125	JIM WELLS	\$ 2,867,319	0.13%	\$ 1,813,187	\$ 1,054,132	0.2%
59	16	BLANCO	\$ 2,320,274	0.11%	\$ 1,298,917	\$ 1,021,357	0.2%
60	27	BURNET	\$ 2,969,449	0.13%	\$ 1,970,786	\$ 998,663	0.2%
61	203	SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 2,721,625	0.12%	\$ 1,773,770	\$ 947,855	0.2%
62	94	GUADALUPE	\$ 3,867,370	0.18%	\$ 2,942,973	\$ 924,396	0.2%
63	176	NEWTON	\$ 1,947,158	0.09%	\$ 1,034,402	\$ 912,756	0.2%
64	240	WEBB	\$ 3,476,934	0.16%	\$ 2,569,519	\$ 907,415	0.2%
65	37	CHEROKEE	\$ 3,382,729	0.15%	\$ 2,494,903	\$ 887,825	0.2%
66	184	PARKER	\$ 1,922,923	0.09%	\$ 1,057,175	\$ 865,747	0.2%
67	183	PANOLA	\$ 2,132,788	0.10%	\$ 1,295,209	\$ 837,579	0.2%
68	130	KENDALL	\$ 2,436,781	0.11%	\$ 1,614,150	\$ 822,631	0.2%
69	191	RANDALL	\$ 2,031,268	0.09%	\$ 1,211,607	\$ 819,661	0.2%
70	204	SAN JACINTO	\$ 3,568,205	0.16%	\$ 2,756,779	\$ 811,426	0.2%
71	150	LLANO	\$ 1,775,987	0.08%	\$ 1,008,385	\$ 767,601	0.1%
72	19	BOWIE	\$ 3,019,851	0.14%	\$ 2,255,471	\$ 764,380	0.1%
73	221	TAYLOR	\$ 1,952,919	0.09%	\$ 1,205,897	\$ 747,023	0.1%
74	107	HENDERSON	\$ 1,786,877	0.08%	\$ 1,053,920	\$ 732,957	0.1%
75	210	SHELBY	\$ 2,300,940	0.10%	\$ 1,569,376	\$ 731,565	0.1%
76	137	KLEBERG	\$ 2,900,677	0.13%	\$ 2,177,596	\$ 723,081	0.1%
77	175	NAVARRO	\$ 1,902,074	0.09%	\$ 1,192,830	\$ 709,245	0.1%
78	111	HOOD	\$ 1,415,653	0.06%	\$ 772,724	\$ 642,929	0.1%
79	28	CALDWELL	\$ 1,715,806	0.08%	\$ 1,082,284	\$ 633,522	0.1%
80	62	DE WITT	\$ 1,912,089	0.09%	\$ 1,278,604	\$ 633,485	0.1%
81	199	ROCKWALL	\$ 1,230,208	0.06%	\$ 621,248	\$ 608,959	0.1%
82	129	KAUFMAN	\$ 1,911,424	0.09%	\$ 1,320,846	\$ 590,577	0.1%
83	70	ELLIS	\$ 1,825,880	0.08%	\$ 1,244,978	\$ 580,902	0.1%
84	228	TRINITY	\$ 2,821,021	0.13%	\$ 2,240,907	\$ 580,114	0.1%
85	126	JOHNSON	\$ 2,315,347	0.10%	\$ 1,735,402	\$ 579,944	0.1%
86	120	JACKSON	\$ 1,725,912	0.08%	\$ 1,152,116	\$ 573,796	0.1%
87	147	LIMESTONE	\$ 1,249,590	0.06%	\$ 698,267	\$ 551,323	0.1%
88	89	GONZALES	\$ 1,271,260	0.06%	\$ 739,042	\$ 532,218	0.1%
89	81	FREESTONE	\$ 1,072,291	0.05%	\$ 562,078	\$ 510,213	0.1%
90	243	WICHITA	\$ 1,602,070	0.07%	\$ 1,094,092	\$ 507,979	0.1%
91	88	GOLIAD	\$ 1,332,684	0.06%	\$ 832,074	\$ 500,610	0.1%
92	144	LEE	\$ 1,137,116	0.05%	\$ 639,358	\$ 497,758	0.1%
93	247	WILSON	\$ 983,816	0.04%	\$ 500,735	\$ 483,082	0.1%
94	188	POTTER	\$ 1,054,909	0.05%	\$ 573,540	\$ 481,369	0.1%
95	86	GILLESPIE	\$ 1,750,651	0.08%	\$ 1,270,615	\$ 480,036	0.1%
96	201	RUSK	\$ 1,186,612	0.05%	\$ 710,994	\$ 475,618	0.1%
97	26	BURLESON	\$ 2,641,758	0.12%	\$ 2,168,318	\$ 473,440	0.1%
98	13	BEE	\$ 1,431,575	0.06%	\$ 958,815	\$ 472,760	0.1%
99	91	GRAYSON	\$ 1,495,610	0.07%	\$ 1,029,905	\$ 465,706	0.1%
100	102	HARRISON	\$ 1,159,395	0.05%	\$ 711,402	\$ 447,994	0.1%
101	10	BANDERA	\$ 811,033	0.04%	\$ 380,304	\$ 430,728	0.1%
102	109	HILL	\$ 1,351,953	0.06%	\$ 929,736	\$ 422,216	0.1%
103	166	MILAM	\$ 1,654,342	0.07%	\$ 1,240,743	\$ 413,599	0.1%
104	234	VAN ZANDT	\$ 1,051,098	0.05%	\$ 648,702	\$ 402,396	0.1%
105	214	STARR	\$ 2,476,322	0.11%	\$ 2,087,755	\$ 388,567	0.1%
106	25	BROWN	\$ 1,274,546	0.06%	\$ 887,578	\$ 386,969	0.1%

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
UCC Cost Analysis
County Detail - FY25 Costs (Charges x RCC)



Rank	Cnty Code	County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated UCC Costs ⁽²⁾ (Charges x RCC)	% of Total	Total UCC Payments ⁽⁴⁾	Unreimbursed Costs (Est Cost - Payments)	% to Total Unreimb Cost "Texas"
107	34	CASS	\$ 1,272,187	0.06%	\$ 892,688	\$ 379,499	0.1%
108	22	BREWSTER	\$ 938,932	0.04%	\$ 568,254	\$ 370,678	0.1%
109	50	CORYELL	\$ 1,065,135	0.05%	\$ 701,447	\$ 363,688	0.1%
110	114	HOWARD	\$ 651,544	0.03%	\$ 327,531	\$ 324,013	0.1%
111	198	ROBERTSON	\$ 2,254,692	0.10%	\$ 1,936,645	\$ 318,047	0.1%
112	141	LAMPASAS	\$ 768,377	0.03%	\$ 465,362	\$ 303,016	0.1%
113	104	HASKELL	\$ 628,822	0.03%	\$ 344,755	\$ 284,067	0.1%
114	116	HUNT	\$ 946,349	0.04%	\$ 666,068	\$ 280,281	0.1%
115	154	MADISON	\$ 1,168,940	0.05%	\$ 902,454	\$ 266,486	0.1%
116	196	REFUGIO	\$ 865,941	0.04%	\$ 600,057	\$ 265,883	0.1%
117	182	PALO PINTO	\$ 620,992	0.03%	\$ 368,998	\$ 251,994	0.0%
118	122	JEFF DAVIS	\$ 794,657	0.04%	\$ 543,557	\$ 251,100	0.0%
119	66	DUVAL	\$ 835,976	0.04%	\$ 589,555	\$ 246,421	0.0%
120	190	RAINS	\$ 760,774	0.03%	\$ 535,078	\$ 225,696	0.0%
121	189	PRESIDIO	\$ 462,606	0.02%	\$ 250,259	\$ 212,347	0.0%
122	252	YOUNG	\$ 521,274	0.02%	\$ 310,107	\$ 211,167	0.0%
123	250	WOOD	\$ 1,458,902	0.07%	\$ 1,257,370	\$ 201,532	0.0%
124	73	FALLS	\$ 644,747	0.03%	\$ 446,507	\$ 198,239	0.0%
125	97	HAMILTON	\$ 410,609	0.02%	\$ 213,858	\$ 196,751	0.0%
126	49	COOKE	\$ 312,103	0.01%	\$ 119,673	\$ 192,430	0.0%
127	18	BOSQUE	\$ 669,018	0.03%	\$ 477,289	\$ 191,729	0.0%
128	149	LIVE OAK	\$ 572,847	0.03%	\$ 382,615	\$ 190,232	0.0%
129	232	UVALDE	\$ 670,373	0.03%	\$ 480,275	\$ 190,098	0.0%
130	42	COLEMAN	\$ 379,808	0.02%	\$ 195,795	\$ 184,013	0.0%
131	169	MONTAGUE	\$ 254,142	0.01%	\$ 95,438	\$ 158,704	0.0%
132	245	WILLACY	\$ 650,758	0.03%	\$ 497,770	\$ 152,988	0.0%
133	193	REAL	\$ 363,641	0.02%	\$ 213,063	\$ 150,578	0.0%
134	112	HOPKINS	\$ 299,677	0.01%	\$ 152,732	\$ 146,945	0.0%
135	163	MEDINA	\$ 602,762	0.03%	\$ 457,346	\$ 145,416	0.0%
136	206	SAN SABA	\$ 435,509	0.02%	\$ 295,200	\$ 140,309	0.0%
137	200	RUNNELS	\$ 278,717	0.01%	\$ 138,413	\$ 140,303	0.0%
138	195	REEVES	\$ 264,066	0.01%	\$ 123,995	\$ 140,071	0.0%
139	253	ZAPATA	\$ 313,394	0.01%	\$ 176,944	\$ 136,450	0.0%
140	47	COMANCHE	\$ 439,415	0.02%	\$ 304,802	\$ 134,613	0.0%
141	74	FANNIN	\$ 204,962	0.01%	\$ 75,704	\$ 129,258	0.0%
142	225	TITUS	\$ 546,789	0.02%	\$ 418,454	\$ 128,335	0.0%
143	177	NOLAN	\$ 536,719	0.02%	\$ 412,423	\$ 124,296	0.0%
144	167	MILLS	\$ 179,233	0.01%	\$ 56,936	\$ 122,297	0.0%
145	209	SHACKELFORD	\$ 440,995	0.02%	\$ 319,570	\$ 121,425	0.0%
146	249	WISE	\$ 357,907	0.02%	\$ 239,368	\$ 118,539	0.0%
147	90	GRAY	\$ 219,334	0.01%	\$ 101,885	\$ 117,448	0.0%
148	185	PARMER	\$ 171,377	0.01%	\$ 54,473	\$ 116,904	0.0%
149	155	MARION	\$ 324,521	0.01%	\$ 208,469	\$ 116,053	0.0%
150	215	STEPHENS	\$ 257,320	0.01%	\$ 142,093	\$ 115,227	0.0%
151	24	BROOKS	\$ 1,006,425	0.05%	\$ 892,423	\$ 114,002	0.0%
152	233	VAL VERDE	\$ 703,556	0.03%	\$ 595,875	\$ 107,682	0.0%
153	38	CHILDRESS	\$ 258,649	0.01%	\$ 152,970	\$ 105,679	0.0%
154	2	ANDREWS	\$ 418,486	0.02%	\$ 316,157	\$ 102,329	0.0%
155	230	UPSHUR	\$ 235,874	0.01%	\$ 134,618	\$ 101,255	0.0%
156	208	SCURRY	\$ 202,138	0.01%	\$ 104,787	\$ 97,351	0.0%
157	231	UPTON	\$ 249,883	0.01%	\$ 152,570	\$ 97,313	0.0%
158	128	KARNES	\$ 206,581	0.01%	\$ 111,264	\$ 95,316	0.0%
159	186	PECOS	\$ 264,675	0.01%	\$ 174,842	\$ 89,833	0.0%

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
UCC Cost Analysis
County Detail - FY25 Costs (Charges x RCC)



Rank	Cnty Code	County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated UCC Costs ⁽²⁾ (Charges x RCC)	% of Total	Total UCC Payments ⁽⁴⁾	Unreimbursed Costs (Est Cost - Payments)	% to Total Unreimb Cost "Texas"
160	95	HALE	\$ 150,939	0.01%	\$ 61,925	\$ 89,014	0.0%
161	171	MOORE	\$ 136,533	0.01%	\$ 48,112	\$ 88,421	0.0%
162	98	HANSFORD	\$ 529,469	0.02%	\$ 441,418	\$ 88,051	0.0%
163	159	MAVERICK	\$ 328,234	0.01%	\$ 244,710	\$ 83,524	0.0%
164	72	ERATH	\$ 199,549	0.01%	\$ 117,939	\$ 81,609	0.0%
165	139	LAMAR	\$ 163,514	0.01%	\$ 84,647	\$ 78,867	0.0%
166	110	HOCKLEY	\$ 117,657	0.01%	\$ 40,937	\$ 76,720	0.0%
167	223	TERRY	\$ 113,302	0.01%	\$ 38,667	\$ 74,635	0.0%
168	52	CRANE	\$ 227,036	0.01%	\$ 152,434	\$ 74,602	0.0%
169	136	KINNEY	\$ 146,531	0.01%	\$ 74,160	\$ 72,371	0.0%
170	218	SUTTON	\$ 221,379	0.01%	\$ 150,089	\$ 71,290	0.0%
171	65	DONLEY	\$ 105,121	0.00%	\$ 35,840	\$ 69,281	0.0%
172	80	FRANKLIN	\$ 238,827	0.01%	\$ 169,714	\$ 69,113	0.0%
173	217	STONEWALL	\$ 120,678	0.01%	\$ 51,807	\$ 68,871	0.0%
174	30	CALLAHAN	\$ 337,727	0.02%	\$ 269,207	\$ 68,520	0.0%
175	7	ATASCOSA	\$ 148,434	0.01%	\$ 81,733	\$ 66,701	0.0%
176	194	RED RIVER	\$ 368,431	0.02%	\$ 302,490	\$ 65,941	0.0%
177	160	MCCULLOCH	\$ 257,884	0.01%	\$ 192,236	\$ 65,648	0.0%
178	118	IRION	\$ 97,420	0.00%	\$ 32,266	\$ 65,154	0.0%
179	140	LAMB	\$ 123,688	0.01%	\$ 62,864	\$ 60,824	0.0%
180	157	MASON	\$ 85,333	0.00%	\$ 24,617	\$ 60,715	0.0%
181	164	MENARD	\$ 91,026	0.00%	\$ 30,834	\$ 60,193	0.0%
182	242	WHEELER	\$ 141,394	0.01%	\$ 84,939	\$ 56,455	0.0%
183	32	CAMP	\$ 105,625	0.00%	\$ 49,333	\$ 56,292	0.0%
184	69	EDWARDS	\$ 123,067	0.01%	\$ 67,009	\$ 56,059	0.0%
185	58	DAWSON	\$ 143,222	0.01%	\$ 93,129	\$ 50,093	0.0%
186	156	MARTIN	\$ 61,981	0.00%	\$ 12,558	\$ 49,423	0.0%
187	213	SOMERVELL	\$ 100,278	0.00%	\$ 52,499	\$ 47,779	0.0%
188	67	EASTLAND	\$ 314,803	0.01%	\$ 268,026	\$ 46,777	0.0%
189	172	MORRIS	\$ 75,064	0.00%	\$ 30,583	\$ 44,482	0.0%
190	39	CLAY	\$ 107,409	0.00%	\$ 63,670	\$ 43,739	0.0%
191	119	JACK	\$ 190,194	0.01%	\$ 153,137	\$ 37,057	0.0%
192	216	STERLING	\$ 45,623	0.00%	\$ 10,240	\$ 35,383	0.0%
193	48	CONCHO	\$ 128,573	0.01%	\$ 95,794	\$ 32,779	0.0%
194	168	MITCHELL	\$ 39,922	0.00%	\$ 7,332	\$ 32,590	0.0%
195	117	HUTCHINSON	\$ 98,722	0.00%	\$ 66,632	\$ 32,091	0.0%
196	56	DALLAM	\$ 48,230	0.00%	\$ 19,784	\$ 28,446	0.0%
197	83	GAINES	\$ 367,836	0.02%	\$ 339,543	\$ 28,292	0.0%
198	60	DELTA	\$ 31,664	0.00%	\$ 6,023	\$ 25,641	0.0%
199	77	FLOYD	\$ 34,013	0.00%	\$ 8,480	\$ 25,534	0.0%
200	59	DEAF SMITH	\$ 135,790	0.01%	\$ 110,284	\$ 25,506	0.0%
201	179	OCHILTREE	\$ 105,797	0.00%	\$ 82,241	\$ 23,556	0.0%
202	82	FRIO	\$ 116,194	0.01%	\$ 93,676	\$ 22,517	0.0%
203	162	MCMULLEN	\$ 107,359	0.00%	\$ 87,779	\$ 19,580	0.0%
204	148	LIPSCOMB	\$ 23,191	0.00%	\$ 3,666	\$ 19,525	0.0%
205	207	SCHLEICHER	\$ 93,774	0.00%	\$ 75,291	\$ 18,482	0.0%
206	41	COKE	\$ 22,241	0.00%	\$ 4,340	\$ 17,901	0.0%
207	238	WARD	\$ 44,074	0.00%	\$ 27,711	\$ 16,363	0.0%
208	106	HEMPHILL	\$ 172,973	0.01%	\$ 159,279	\$ 13,694	0.0%
209	55	CULBERSON	\$ 24,381	0.00%	\$ 11,731	\$ 12,650	0.0%
210	219	SWISHER	\$ 62,908	0.00%	\$ 51,217	\$ 11,692	0.0%
211	153	LYNN	\$ 100,851	0.00%	\$ 89,306	\$ 11,545	0.0%
212	5	ARCHER	\$ 32,371	0.00%	\$ 21,719	\$ 10,651	0.0%

**The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
UCC Cost Analysis
County Detail - FY25 Costs (Charges x RCC)**



Rank	Cnty Code	County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated UCC Costs ⁽²⁾ (Charges x RCC)	% of Total	Total UCC Payments ⁽⁴⁾	Unreimbursed Costs (Est Cost - Payments)	% to Total Unreimb Cost "Texas"
213	222	TERRELL	\$ 47,395	0.00%	\$ 39,902	\$ 7,493	0.0%
214	64	DIMITT	\$ 59,375	0.00%	\$ 52,152	\$ 7,224	0.0%
215	192	REAGAN	\$ 25,413	0.00%	\$ 18,234	\$ 7,180	0.0%
216	87	GLASSCOCK	\$ 17,017	0.00%	\$ 11,188	\$ 5,830	0.0%
217	9	BAILEY	\$ 6,157	0.00%	\$ 761	\$ 5,396	0.0%
218	99	HARDEMAN	\$ 10,530	0.00%	\$ 5,687	\$ 4,843	0.0%
219	131	KENEDY	\$ 7,799	0.00%	\$ 3,035	\$ 4,764	0.0%
220	85	GARZA	\$ 5,183	0.00%	\$ 1,314	\$ 3,869	0.0%
221	53	CROCKETT	\$ 2,263	0.00%	\$ (1,074)	\$ 3,337	0.0%
222	127	JONES	\$ 6,635	0.00%	\$ 3,863	\$ 2,772	0.0%
223	138	KNOX	\$ 22,483	0.00%	\$ 20,010	\$ 2,473	0.0%
224	244	WILBARGER	\$ 9,112	0.00%	\$ 7,148	\$ 1,964	0.0%
225	211	SHERMAN	\$ 4,243	0.00%	\$ 3,092	\$ 1,150	0.0%
226	142	LA SALLE	\$ 813	0.00%	\$ (328)	\$ 1,141	0.0%
227	254	ZAVALA	\$ 552	0.00%	\$ 82	\$ 470	0.0%
228	251	YOAKUM	\$ 671	0.00%	\$ 422	\$ 249	0.0%
229	54	CROSBY	\$ 547	0.00%	\$ 436	\$ 111	0.0%
230	6	ARMSTRONG	\$ 144	0.00%	\$ 39	\$ 105	0.0%
231	12	BAYLOR	\$ -	0.00%	\$ (57)	\$ 57	0.0%
232	96	HALL	\$ 166	0.00%	\$ 112	\$ 54	0.0%
233	51	COTTLE	\$ -	0.00%	\$ (46)	\$ 46	0.0%
234	180	OLDHAM	\$ 403	0.00%	\$ 428	\$ (24)	0.0%
235	115	HUDSPETH	\$ 20,533	0.00%	\$ 33,950	\$ (13,417)	0.0%
236	124	JIM HOGG	\$ 78,100	0.00%	\$ 93,669	\$ (15,569)	0.0%
237	76	FISHER	\$ 72,120	0.00%	\$ 121,333	\$ (49,213)	0.0%
238	134	KIMBLE	\$ 577,840	0.03%	\$ 650,768	\$ (72,928)	0.0%
239	248	WINKLER	\$ 212,670	0.01%	\$ 286,483	\$ (73,813)	0.0%
240	33	CARSON	\$ 302,327	0.01%	\$ 480,486	\$ (178,158)	0.0%
TOTAL TEXAS COUNTIES			\$ 1,685,848,282	76.38%	\$ 1,168,701,945	\$ 517,146,337	72.8%
TOTAL OUT OF STATE/OTHER ⁽³⁾			\$ 521,394,285	23.62%	\$ 327,742,458	\$ 193,651,827	27.2%
SUBTOTAL			\$ 2,207,242,567	100.00%	\$ 1,496,444,403	\$ 710,798,164	100.0%

Costs related to Pharmacy Assitance Program ⁽⁵⁾: \$ (2,748,755)

TOTAL \$ 2,204,493,812 \$ 1,496,444,403

MCR Cost Report Settlements ⁽⁶⁾ \$ - \$ 292,731,177

MCD & Non Pt Specific Payments ⁽⁷⁾ \$ - \$ 389,761

TOTAL \$ 2,204,493,812 \$ 1,789,565,342 \$ 414,928,470
↑

**Total
Uncompensated Care**

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
UCC Cost Analysis
County Detail - FY25 Costs (Charges x RCC)



Rank	Cnty Code	County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated UCC Costs ⁽²⁾ (Charges x RCC)	% of Total	Total UCC Payments ⁽⁴⁾	Unreimbursed Costs (Est Cost - Payments)	% to Total Unreimb Cost "Texas"
------	-----------	-----------------------	--	------------	--------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------

- Notes:
- 1) County was determined by residence for hospital HAR posting an uncompensated care charge in each reported fiscal year. County of PRS-only patients was determined based on the zip code of the most recent professional invoice.
 - 2) UCC Costs calculated using Uncompensated Care Charges (Medicare, Medicaid & Charity) x RCC (based on Medicare Cost Report).
However, please note that Hospital - Medicaid was profitable, thus not deemed as uncompensated care.
 - 3) The 'Out of State / Other' category includes patients from outside of Texas. The majority of these patients are Medicare, but many are also out-of-state Medicaid. Out of State category represents 27.2% of total unreimbursed cost.
 - 4) Net collections are based on payments posted during the reported year, and correspond to a blend of service dates, the majority being in FY25. This "cash basis" reporting should be a fair approximation of a full year of payments on FY25 activities, given the lack of a full payment cycle for all FY25 activities.
 - 5) Costs associated with our Pharmacy Assistance Program (PAP) for which we receive a rebate. The purpose is to offset charges for drug usage incurred by the institution from replenishment of drug stock.
- Other funding streams include:
- 6) Medicare Settlement - estimated based on mid-year FY25 cost report estimates. Does not include the education portions, since those costs are not reflected in our Medicare RCC.
 - 7) Medicaid Settlement - estimated settlement amount for Traditional and Managed Medicaid in FY25, which also corresponds to the accrual used in the institutions financial statement.